

STEREOTYPE THREAT: AN OVERVIEW
EXCERPTS AND ADAPTATIONS
FROM REDUCING STEREOTYPE THREAT.ORG
By Steve Stroessner and Catherine Good
Reprinted and adapted with permission.
Adapted by R. Rhys

Over 300 experiments on stereotype threat have been published in peer-reviewed journals.
Learn more at <http://www.reducingstereotypethreat.org/>

Consequences of stereotype threat can contribute to educational and social inequality of some groups including ethnic minorities in academic environments and women in math. Just a few negative outcomes are:

- limiting domains of study students wish to pursue;
- not valuing an area of study (Aronson, Fried, & Good 2002; Osborne, 1995; Steele, 1997); and
- narrowing students' career options.

The purpose of this article is to provide a summary and overview of published research on stereotype threat. It contains highlights from the [reducingstereotypethreat.org](http://www.reducingstereotypethreat.org) web site by Stroessner and Good. You are strongly encouraged to visit this site for a more comprehensive review. By doing so you may increase your understanding of the phenomenon known as stereotype threat and gain strategies to reduce its occurrence and impact (Johns, Schmader, & Martens, 2005).

What is stereotype threat?

Stereotype threat refers to being at risk of confirming, as a self-characteristic, a negative stereotype about one's social group (Steele & Aronson, 1995).

The term, stereotype threat, was first used by Steele and Aronson (1995) who showed in several experiments that Black college freshmen and sophomores performed more poorly on standardized tests than White students when their race was emphasized. When race was not emphasized, however, Black students performed better and equivalently with White students. The results showed that performance in academic contexts can be harmed by the awareness that one's behavior might be viewed through the lens of racial stereotypes.

In general, the conditions that produce stereotype threat are ones in which a highlighted stereotype implicates the self through association with a relevant social category (Marx & Stapel, 2006; Marx, Stapel, & Muller, 2005). When one views oneself in terms of a salient group membership (e.g., "I am a woman. Women are not expected to be good at math." and "This is a difficult math test."), performance can be undermined because of concerns about possibly confirming negative

stereotypes about one's group. Thus, situations that increase the salience of the stereotyped group identity can increase vulnerability to stereotype threat.

Who is impacted?

Everyone is vulnerable to stereotype threat, at least in some circumstances.

Research has shown that stereotype threat can harm the academic performance of *any* individual for whom the situation invokes a stereotype-based expectation of poor performance. Everyone belongs to at least one group that is characterized by some sort of stereotype. Any salient social identity can affect performance on a task that offers the possibility that a stereotype might be confirmed. Stereotype threat effects have been shown with a wide range of social groups and stereotypes including, but not limited to:

- women in math (e.g., Spencer, Steele, & Quinn, 1999; Walsh, Hickey, & Duffy, 1999);
- Whites with regard to appearing racist (Frantz, Cuddy, Burnett, Ray, & Hart, 2004);
- students from low socioeconomic backgrounds compared to students from high socioeconomic backgrounds on intellectual tasks (e.g., Croizet & Claire, 1998; Harrison, Stevens, Monty, & Coakley, 2006);
- men compared with women on social sensitivity (Koenig & Eagly, 2005);
- Whites compared with Asian men in mathematics (e.g., Aronson, Lustina, Good, Keogh, Steele, & Brown, 1999);
- Whites compared with Blacks and Hispanics on tasks assumed to reflect natural sports ability (e.g., Stone, 2002); and
- young girls whose gender has been highlighted before completing a math task (Ambady, Shih, Kim, & Pittinsky, 2001).

There are factors which may play a role in one's "stereotype vulnerability" (Aronson, 2002). These factors include: group membership, domain identification, group identification, internal locus of control/proactive personality, and stereotype knowledge and belief, among others.

What are some of the consequences of stereotype threat?

Decreased performance in academic and non-academic domains, increased use of self-defeating behaviors, disengagement, and altered professional aspirations are just a few potential outcomes.

In situations in which the stereotype is relevant, potential consequences are listed below.

1. Stigmatized groups underachieve on classroom exams, standardized tests, and tasks that have previously been suggested to be "culture free" and relatively "pure" measures of cognitive ability (Steele & Aronson, 1995; Cole, Matheson, & Anisman, 2007; Good, Aronson, & Harder, 2008; Keller, 2007; Neville & Croizet, 2007; Good, Aronson, & Inzlicht, 2003; Brown & Day, 2006; Klein, Pohl, & Ndagijimana, 2007).

For instance, stereotype threat has been shown to harm the academic performance of:

- Hispanics (Gonzales, Blanton, & Williams, 2002; Schmader & Johns, 2003);
- students from low socioeconomic backgrounds (Croizet & Claire, 1998);
- females in math (Good, Aronson, & Harder, 2008; Inzlicht & Ben-Zeev, 2000; Spencer, Steele, & Quinn, 1999); and
- White males when faced with the specter of Asian superiority in math (Aronson, Lustina, Good, Keogh, Steele, & Brown, 1999; Stone, Lynch, Sjomerling, & Darley, 1999).

2. Decreased performance on tasks in non-academic domains such as

- White men in sports (e.g., Stone, Lynch, Sjomerling, & Darley, 1999);
- women in negotiation (Kray, Galinsky, & Thompson, 2002);
- gay men in providing childcare (Bosson, Haymovitz, & Pinel, 2004);
- women in driving (Yeung & von Hippel, 2008); and
- elderly in memory performance (Levy, 1996).

A literature review revealed a dearth of research on stereotype threat and people with disabilities. However, it is feasible that people with disabilities are also vulnerable to stereotype threat. Future research is needed.

3. Increased use of self-defeating strategies, such as practicing less for a task and discounting tasks (Stone, 2002).

Reduced Practicing Time

- White students highly identified with sports who completed a task described as reflecting "natural athletic ability" practiced the task less than when under no threat and also when compared with individuals not identified with sports (Stone, 2002).
- Girls who performed poorly on a math test under stereotype threat were more likely to invoke the stress they had been experiencing before taking the test (Keller 2002).
- Steele and Aronson (1995) showed that African American students under stereotype threat also tended to produce a priori excuses for possible failure (see also Schimel, Arndt, Banko, & Cook, 2004). Brown and Josephs (1999) also showed that providing external excuses beforehand for failure eliminated stereotype threat effects. These results show that individuals under stereotype threat might reduce preparation, exhibit less effort, or invoke factors to create attributional ambiguity for potential failure. To the degree that individuals engage in self-defeating behavior however, actual performance can suffer.

Task Discounting

Individuals may question the validity of the task or even the importance of the trait being tested.

- Highly math identified women operating under stereotype threat were more likely to agree with statements such as "this test is not an accurate measurement of my math ability," and "I feel that I am better at math outside of this test" (Lesko & Corpus, 2006).
- Girls who performed poorly on a math test after being told of gender differences were more likely to agree that the test was "tricky" or "unfair" (Keller 2002).
- Belgians with sub-Saharan origins were more likely to assert that an intelligence test commonly used in job selection was inappropriate given their nationality when they had been placed under stereotype threat and performed poorly (Klein, Pohl, & Ndagijimana, 2007).

Although task discounting might help protect the self from the consequences of poor performance, it can also undermine motivation and lead a person to devalue the domain if used to excess.

4. Disengagement and disidentification (Crocker, Major, & Steele, 1998; Major, Spencer, Schmader, Wolfe, & Crocker, 1998). Disengagement occurs when stereotype threat leads individuals to distance themselves from a threatening domain or to suggest that performance in a domain is unrelated to self-worth. For instance,
 - Prior to taking an IQ test, White students were reminded of the stereotype that Asians are intelligent. Subsequently, White students tended to claim that intelligence is relatively unimportant to them (von Hippel, W., von Hippel, C., Conway, Preacher, Schooler, & Radvansky, 2005).

Limited or context-specific disengagement can be healthy and protective. For example, Major et al. (1998) found that after performing a difficult intelligence test Black participants were less affected by the negative feedback they received after the possibility of racial bias was invoked. Nussbaum and Steele (2007) showed that short-term disengagement allowed Black students under stereotype threat to maintain their motivation on a task. These findings suggest that disengagement can represent an adaptive response that allows individuals to maintain positive self-views or to maintain motivation and persistence.

However, disengagement can also produce "disidentification" if an individual copes with long-term threat by avoiding the domain or detaching one's identity from a domain (Steele, et al. 2002). For example:

- The correlation between academic performance and self-esteem was significant for both Black and White students in 8th grade, but African American boys showed a weakening correlation over time so that by 12th grade, academic performance and self-esteem were unrelated (Osborne, 1997).
- Among students of color, those who most identified with academics (and would be therefore, most susceptible to stereotype threat in academic domains) were most likely later to withdraw from school (Osborne & Walker, 2006).

- High achieving Black students who do *not* disidentify from academics were more likely to face peer-group ostracism compared with high-achieving White students (Fryer, 2006; Zirkel, 2004).

5. Altered professional identities and aspirations

Recent research has shown that stereotype threat can alter stereotyped students' professional identities by redirecting their aspirations and career paths.

- Women undergraduates in male dominated disciplines reported higher levels of sex discrimination and stereotype threat. These women were also more likely to report that they were thinking of changing their major compared to women in fields that were not dominated by men (Steele, James, & Barnett, 2002).
- Women math and science majors who viewed a discussion of math and science topics where males were numerically dominant showed lowered interest in participating in such future discussions (Murphy, Steele, & Gross, 2007).
- The more that "male characteristics" were emphasized as important in a career field, the less women expressed an interest in entering that field (Gupta & Bhawe, 2007).
- Emphasizing stereotypical attributes in a classroom environment can affect perceived sense of belonging to that discipline. The more that women perceived that their college calculus classes conveyed negative stereotypes about women's math abilities, the more they reported feeling like unaccepted members of the math community. This threat to their identity as a future mathematician (or scientist) had real consequences for their achievement and career aspirations. When women's sense of belonging was reduced by their perceptions of a stereotypical environment, they earned lower course grades and were less likely to express interest in taking more math classes in the future (Good, Dweck, & Rattan, 2008).

What situations lead to stereotype threat?

Example situations: Stereotyped group status is highlighted, solo status is involved, stereotypes are invoked, and evaluative scrutiny occurs.

Although some individuals are more susceptible to stereotype threat than others, stereotype threat is also more common in some *situations* than others. Research suggests that stereotype threat is more likely to occur in the following contexts.

1. Group identity salience

When one's stereotyped group status is made relevant or conspicuous by situational features, stereotype threat and performance decrements are more likely. Because stereotype threat arises from negative performance expectations in a specific domain, any group can show evidence of underperformance if the situation brings attention to the threatened identity. In other words, although stereotype threat tends to be experienced by members of some groups more than others, it would be inappropriate to conclude that it is only experienced by members

of traditionally stigmatized or stereotyped groups. A stereotyped social identity can be highlighted in several ways in social situations.

For example, highlighting stereotyped social identities by soliciting identity-relevant information before test taking has been used in several studies and the results consistently show performance decrements for the stereotyped group when identity information is gathered before rather than after test completion (Ambady, Shih, Kim, & Pittinsky, 2001; McGlone & Aronson, 2006; Shih, Pittinsky, & Ambady, 1999; Shih, Pittinsky, & Trahan, 2006; Yopyk & Prentice, 2005). These effects are particularly worrisome since it is standard practice to ask questions about test-takers' group memberships including gender and race before students complete high stakes exams such as the SAT and GRE.

Data provided by Stricker and Ward (2004; see also Danaher & Crandall, 2008) suggest that merely moving the standard demographic inquiry from the beginning to the end of the test would improve performance of women on the AP Calculus Test. By instituting this procedural change, it is estimated that an additional 4700 female students would receive AP Calculus credit annually. There is additional support for these findings from studies such as the following:

- Steele and Aronson (1995) had African American college students indicate their race on a test booklet prior to taking a test. They found that merely asking participants to indicate their race caused Black students' anxiety to increase and their test scores to drop, even though the test had been described as non-diagnostic of ability. A more subtle form of group identity salience occurs when an individual interacts with an outgroup member. Studies suggest that group identity tends to be more salient when an individual interacts with an outgroup member, and in such situations the performance of group members associated with a negative stereotype tends to be harmed.
 - Marx and Goff (2005) had Black and White undergraduates complete a challenging verbal test in the presence of a Black or White test administrator. Blacks reported feeling more threat and performed worse when the test administrator was White rather than Black. When the experimenter was Black, Black students performed as well as White students, and White students were unaffected by the administrator's race.
 - Stone and McWhinnie (2008) used a similar manipulation by having females perform a golf task in the presence of a male or female experimenter. When the experimenter was male, women tended to make more errors indicating poor focus and concentration.
2. Solo or Numerical Minority Status: Situations where one is (Sekaquaptewa, Waldman, & Thompson, 2007) or even just expects to be (Murphy, Steele, & Gross, 2007) the single representative of a stereotyped group or a numerical minority can create heightened group identity and stereotype threat.
- Women showed performance decrements on math tests (where there exists a stereotype of female inferiority), but only when they took the test in the presence of

men, and performance decreased in proportion to the number of fellow male test takers (Inzlicht & Ben-Zeev, 2000).

- Beaton, Tougas, Rinfret, Huard, and Delisle (2007) also provided evidence of lowered math performance in conditions involving solo status. They showed that decrements are likely caused by the increased feelings of performance anxiety that arise under solo status. Solo status does not affect intellectual performance generally, however. Women's performance on verbal tests (where there are no strong gender stereotypes) tends not to be affected by the gender composition of the group (Inzlicht & Ben-Zeev, 2000).
- Individuals who were the sole minority in their department experienced a greater degree of stereotype threat, affecting how employees interpreted feedback from colleagues and supervisors (Roberson, Deitch, Brief, & Block, 2003).

3. Stereotype Saliency

Identities can become threatened when stereotypes are invoked in the performance environment, either blatantly (e.g., Aronson, Lustina, Good, Keough, Steele, & Brown, 1999; Smith & White, 2002; Spencer, Steele, & Quinn, 1999; Yeung & von Hippel, 2008) or subtly (e.g., Beilock, Rydell, & McConnell, 2007; Brown & Pinel, 2003). Such stereotype endorsement tends to reduce performance in those individuals who are members of the supposedly lower performing groups.

Task performance has also been harmed when women must complete a task in the presence of an instructor who supposedly has sexist attitudes (Adams, Garcia, Purdie-Vaughns, & Steele, 2006). These various means for endorsing stereotypes consistently reduce the quality of performance in individuals who are members of the supposedly lower performing group.

Stereotype endorsement is *not* necessary to produce stereotype threat effects. Studies that have simply exposed individuals to group stereotypes without endorsing them (Ambady, Paik, Steele, Owen-Smith, & Mitchell, 2004; Bergeron, Block, & Echtenkamp, 2006; Levy, 1996) or have directed individuals to think about the ways they are affected by stereotypes of their group (Josephs, Newman, Brown, & Beer, 2003) have also produced performance decrements.

The way a task is described can also affect which stereotypes are highlighted in a given situation (e.g., Brown & Day, 2006; Huguet & Régner, 2007). Studies indicate that the description of the task itself can alter the stereotypes that are invoked in a situation, with activation of threatening stereotypes harming performance.

- When researchers suggested that task performance with regards to golf putting relied on natural sports ability (invoking the stereotypical superiority of Blacks), Whites performed significantly worse than Blacks on the task. When researchers described the task as reflecting athletic intelligence (invoking the stereotypical superiority of Whites), Whites performed better than Blacks (Stone, Lynch, Sjomeling, & Darley, 1999).

- Performance of White participants was worse when researchers suggested that performance on a computer-administered test reflected “racial bias” (highlighting the stereotype that Whites are racist) than when participants were told the test reflected “knowledge of [but not belief in] cultural stereotypes.” Ironically producing scores on the test consistent with White racial bias (Frantz, Cuddy, Burnett, Ray, & Hart, 2004).

4. Evaluative scrutiny

Situations in which an individual believes that his or her ability in a stereotypic domain will be evaluated can create a strong sense of group identity and stereotype threat. When a test is described as being able to provide reliable and valid information about one's ability in a stereotyped domain, feelings of anxiety and intrusive thoughts of failure can arise, harming performance (e.g., Frantz, Cuddy, Burnett, Ray, & Hart, 2004; Kray, Thompson, & Galinsky, 2001; Marx, Stapel, & Muller, 2005).

- Varying the presumed diagnosticity of a test in a threatened domain can affect the quality of performance. African American and White American college students took a difficult verbal test resembling the GRE after being told either that the test measured their intellectual abilities, or alternatively, that the test measured psychological processes involved in problem solving. When the tests were supposedly diagnostic of intelligence, White students outscored Black students. However, in the condition in which the test was described as diagnostic of problem solving (for which there exists little or no racial stereotype), the racial gap in performance was eliminated (Steele & Aronson, 1995). Although most people strive to do well on a diagnostic test, stereotyped individuals may become hyper-motivated to perform well in order to disprove the stereotype. This highly motivated state can create an added level of stress, anxiety, and intrusive thoughts that undermine the relaxed concentration that is optimal for performance on complex cognitive tasks (see Beilock, Rydell, & McConnell, 2007; Osborne, 2007; Schmader & Johns, 2003). Tests that are supposedly diagnostic of intelligence are particularly a source of concern, since poor performance can imply limited ability, and therefore can affect life aspirations and goals.

Evaluative scrutiny is also increased when a situation tests the limits of one's abilities. Several studies have shown that stereotype threat effects are more likely on difficult tests and difficult items, particularly for people who are highly-identified with a domain. For example:

- Researchers gave an easy or difficult math test to women and men who had a history of successful performance and who valued performance in math. Performance was equivalent when the test was relatively easy, but men outperformed women when the test was difficult (Spencer, Steele, & Quinn, 1999).
- Experimenters asked men and women to complete an easy or difficult math test under stereotype threat or no stereotype threat conditions. Stereotype threat improved performance of women on the easy set of problems, but harmed performance on the difficult problem set. Men were unaffected by the stereotype threat manipulation. Similar effects have been shown in children (O'Brien & Crandall, 2003; Wicherts, Dolan, & Hessen, 2005).

- Third grade girls performed more poorly on difficult items after their gender had been highlighted, but their performance on easy items was equivalent across conditions (Neuville & Croizet, 2007). These results suggest that stereotype threat will more likely arise when individuals confront difficult tasks involving the stereotype and, once it arises will more likely harm performance on difficult compared with simple tasks.

What are the mechanisms behind stereotype threat?

Anxiety, negative cognitions, lowered performance expectations, physiological arousal, reduced effort, self-control, memory capacity, creativity, flexibility and speed, are just some of the proposed mechanisms involved in stereotype threat.

Given that stereotype threat effects have been shown in many different situations involving a variety of stereotypes, what do we know about how negative stereotypes lead to the demonstrated consequences?

Although stereotype threat effects appear to be robust, the specific mechanisms by which the stereotype threat harms performance is still not entirely clear. This ambiguity likely reflects that fact that stereotype threat probably produces several different consequences, each of which can contribute to decreased performance (Steele, Spencer, & Aronson, 2002). Steele and Aronson (1995), for example, speculated that distraction, narrowed attention, anxiety, self-consciousness, withdrawal of effort, or even over-effort might all play a role.

Research has provided support for the role of some of these factors, at least in some contexts. It is quite likely that these factors work together to undermine performance under stereotype threat. It is also possible that certain consequences are more likely in some contexts (and among some groups) than in others.

1. Anxiety

Since the notion of stereotype threat was first proposed, it has been speculated that the emotional reactions it produces could directly interfere with performance. For example, Steele (1997; Steele et al., 2002) suggested that stereotype threat effects reflect increased anxiety about confirming a negative stereotype about one's group. Despite the assumed centrality of emotions, the results have often been mixed (e.g., Beilock, Rydell, & McConnell, 2007; Gonzales, Blanton, & Williams, 2002; Harrison, Stevens, Monty, & Coakley, 2006; Keller & Dauenheimer, 2003; Osborne, 2001; Stangor, Carr, & Kiang, 1998; Steele & Aronson, 1995; Cadinu, Maass, Rosabianca, & Kiesner, 2005).

2. Negative cognitions and dejection

Stereotype threat can heighten stereotype-related thinking, leading to distraction and loss of motivation which, in turn, can negatively affect performance.

- Cadinu, Maass, Rosabianca, and Kiesner (2005) examined women's math performance when gender differences in math problem solving were either highlighted

or explicitly refuted. To the degree that women under stereotype threat thought about gender math stereotypes, their performance tended to be worse.

- Keller and Dauenheimer (2003) showed that girls' reports of frustration, disappointment, and sadness accounted for poor math performance under stereotype threat. In addition to producing anxiety and motivation loss, these negative cognitions and emotions might also diminish the cognitive resources available that are necessary for maximal performance.
- Krendl, Richeson, Kelley, and Heatherton (2008) examined brain activity during a math exercise in the presence or absence of stereotype threat. Women in a control condition showed activation in brain regions associated with math learning during problem solving. However, women who were reminded of gender stereotypes in math showed heightened activation of the ventral anterior cingulate cortex (vACC) and no evidence of heightened levels of activation in the regions important for successful math performance. The vACC has been implicated in the processing of negative information.

3. Lowered performance expectations

Related to negative thoughts and emotions are low expectations. If individuals expect to do poorly on a task, they might not be able to perform as well as when confidence is high.

- Stangor, Carr, and Kiang (1998) showed that activating gender stereotypes undermined performance expectations of women who were asked to estimate their performance on an upcoming task involving spatial perception.
- Kray, Thompson, and Galinsky (2001) showed that subtle manipulations linking performance to gender stereotypes reduced performance expectations in women prior to a task involving negotiation. Kellow and Jones' (2007) research also illustrated lowered performance expectations among 9th grade African American students under stereotype threat, although performance deficits did not emerge.

4. Physiological arousal

Stereotype threat has been shown to affect physiological processes in several studies.

- Croizet, Dépres, Gauzins, Huguet, Leyens, and Méot (2004) showed that undergraduate students under stereotype threat (specifically, psychology majors with a reputation of lower intelligence compared with science majors) performed more poorly on a task described as a "valid measure of general intellectual ability involved in mathematical and logical reasoning" than when the task was described as "not diagnostic of any ability." In addition, this poorer performance was associated with a decrease in heart rate variability (HRV). Moreover, the changes in HRV mediated the relationship between stereotype threat and performance. Thus, the increased mental workload under stereotype threat (and indicated by the decreased HRV) was responsible for the poor performance of those individuals susceptible to stereotype threat.

- Osborne (2006, 2007) showed that students under stereotype threat showed higher skin conductance and blood pressure, while also displaying lowered skin temperature.

If physiological arousal occurs under stereotype threat, not all performance should be negatively affected. Specifically, the effects of arousal have been shown to depend on task difficulty, with arousal improving performance on simple tasks, but decreasing performance on difficult tasks. O'Brien and Crandall (2003) tested whether arousal might account for stereotype threat effects by inducing stereotype threat in students prior to their completing a challenging or easy task. Women under stereotype threat performed better on an easy math test, but worse on a difficult math test compared with women who were not exposed to stereotype threat. These results are consistent with the notion that arousal plays a central role in accounting for stereotype threat effects.

5. Reduced effort

Stereotype threat can lead individuals to reduce their effort, perhaps because of low expectations of performance or perhaps to use self-defeating strategies. (Stone, 2002; see also Schimel, Arndt, Banko, & Cook, 2004) provided evidence that individuals who experienced stereotype threat before performing a task related to golf engaged in less voluntary practice compared with individuals not operating under stereotype threat. Stereotype threat can reduce preparation and effort, and using such self-defeating strategies can offer psychological protection by providing an a priori explanation for failure. Of course, under-preparation can also produce a self-fulfilling prophecy, producing failure under the very conditions where people fear doing poorly.

6. Reduced self-control

Inzlicht, McKay, and Aronson (2006) showed that stereotype threat can diminish people's ability to direct their attention and behavior in purposeful ways. In this study, Blacks who reported anxious expectations of encountering racial prejudice reported lower ability to regulate their academic behavior, and subsequent experiments demonstrated that imposition of stereotype threat reduced their ability to effectively regulate attentional and behavioral resources. Similarly, Smith and White (2002) produced evidence that individuals who were exposed to stereotypes that were then nullified were better able to focus on the task than were individuals operating under stereotype threat. These findings suggest that coping with stereotype threat can reduce the ability to effectively regulate behavior in a variety of related and unrelated domains.

7. Reduced working memory capacity

Recent research suggests that stereotype threat can reduce working memory resources, undermining the ability to meet the information-processing requirements of complex intellectual tasks. Croizet, Després, Gauzins, Hugué, Leyens, and Méot's (2004) study used HRV, an indirect, physiological indicator of mental load, to show that stereotype threat can impose a cognitive burden. More direct evidence regarding the nature of this burden was provided by Schmader and Johns (2003; see also Osborne, 2006) who showed that working memory

capacity (i.e., a short-term memory system involved in the controlling, regulating, and maintaining of information relevant to the immediate task) is affected by stereotype threat. Female students in the study performed a math task after being told either that "women are poorer at math than men" or were given no information about gender differences. Later, women's performance and their working memory capacity (defined as the ability to recall words that had to be held in memory while participants solved math problems) were assessed. Women under stereotype threat showed poorer math performance and reduced working memory capacity compared with the control group. Differences in working memory capacity also mediated the link between stereotype threat and poorer math performance.

Beilock, Rydell, and McConnell (2007) extended this work by showing that stereotype threat appears to undermine phonological components of the working memory system involved in inner speech and thinking. Pressure-related thought and worries can reduce working memory resources, and tasks that require working memory resources (such as novel or poorly practiced skills) are most likely to reveal decrements under stereotype threat. Stereotype threat can increase worries and concerns, and these thoughts can reduce the working memory capacity necessary to effectively meet the information-processing requirements of a task. The effects of reduced working memory can be task, or even component, specific. Stone and McWhinnie (2008) showed, for example, that subtle stereotype threat seemed to affect only task components that rely on concentration and focused attention.

8. Reduced creativity, flexibility, and speed

Some research (Higgins, 1998) suggests that stereotype threat can produce a *prevention focus*, a regulatory state in which individuals become vigilant to prevent failure. Under such conditions, people tend to use risk-averse means, manifesting in higher performance accuracy and enhanced analytic thinking. People in a state of vigilance, however, tend to exhibit poorer performance on tasks that rely on creativity, openness, flexibility, and speed (Seibt & Förster, 2004). Since most tasks require both analytic thinking and a degree of openness and speed for successful completion, a prevention focus induced by stereotype threat can hinder performance on many tasks.

How can we reduce stereotype threat?

Though using different specific techniques, the studies cited below *all use methods that reduce the salience of identities that are tied to poor performance in a domain by emphasizing:*

- Idiosyncratic valued characteristics
- Characteristics shared with other groups
- Other identities, or complex identities

Stereotype threat effects have been demonstrated in many studies using different tests and tasks. However, research has also shown that performance deficits can be reduced or eliminated by several means.

Examples of Specific Techniques

1. Consider moving standard demographic inquiries about ethnicity and gender to the end of a test.

Empirical Evidence: Stricker and Ward (2004) as well as, Danaher and Crandall (2008) found that by doing so, women had significantly higher performance on the AP Calculus Test.

2. Encourage individuals to think of themselves *as complex and multi-faceted*.

Empirical Evidence:

- Women encouraged to think of themselves in terms of their valued and unique characteristics were less likely to experience stereotype threat in mathematics (Ambady, Paik, Steele, Owen-Smith, & Mitchell 2004).
- Individuals who were asked to think of characteristics that are shared by ingroup and outgroup members, particularly characteristics in the threatened domain appeared to be less vulnerable to developing stereotype threat in conditions that normally produce it (Rosenthal, Crisp, & Suen, 2007; Rosenthal & Crisp, 2006).
- Women who created complex self-representations or complete descriptions of themselves were less likely to experience stereotype threat in math manipulations compared to counterparts who created simple maps defining only a "fundamental characteristic," or who made no self-representational maps at all. Moreover, women who were highly identified with math performed as well as men if they had asserted complex self-representations (Rosenthal & Crisp, 2006; Gresky, Ten Eyck, Lord, & McIntyre, 2005).

3. Highlighting social identities that are not linked to underperformance in a domain can attenuate stereotype threat.

Empirical Evidence: McGlone and Aronson (2006) varied social identity salience by having students complete questionnaires that focused on different social identities. Differences in men's and women's performance on a gender-linked task were greatest when the questionnaires focused on their gender and smaller when they inquired about other social identities.

4. Encourage self affirmation.

Allowing people to affirm their self worth is a general means for protecting the self from perceived threats and consequences of failure. This can be done by encouraging people to think about characteristics, skills, values, or roles that they value or view as important.

Empirical Evidence:

- White Americans who were given the opportunity to affirm their commitment to

being nonracist were less likely to respond in a stereotypic fashion to an implicit measure of racial associations that had been described as indicative of racial bias (Schimel, Arndt, Banko, & Cook, 2004; Frantz, Cuddy, Burnett, Ray, & Hart, 2004).

- *Women who engaged in self-affirmation did not demonstrate the performance decrements that typically arise when stereotypes about gender differences in mathematics and spatial ability are invoked (Martens, Johns, Greenberg, & Schimel, 2006).*
- *African American students who were asked to engage in self-affirmation for 15 minutes performed better during the semester than those who did not. The salutatory consequences of self-affirmation appears to arise because self-affirmation alleviates psychological threat imposed by a fear of confirming to stereotypes of poor performance (Cohen, Garcia, Apfel, & Master, 2006).*

5. **Emphasize high standards with assurances about the capability for meeting them.**

Constructive feedback appears most effective when it communicates high standards for performance, but also provides assurances that students are capable of meeting those high standards (Cohen, Steele, & Ross, 1999). Such feedback reduces perceived evaluator bias, increases motivation, and preserves domain-identification. High standards and assurances of capability appear to signal that students will not be judged stereotypically and that their abilities and “belonging” are assumed rather than questioned.

6. **Provide Role Models.**

Exposure to positive role models can improve performance. Thoughts about outgroup members whose performance is superior in a domain can interfere with performance, and providing role models demonstrating proficiency in a domain can reduce stereotype threat effects (Blanton, Crocker, & Miller, 2000).

Empirical Evidence:

- *Women's performance on a math test in a mixed-gender environment was negatively related to their thoughts about specific men who perform well in mathematics (Huguet & Régner, 2007).*
- *Women tended to perform as well as men on a math test when the test was administered by a woman with high competence in math, but they performed more poorly (and showed lower state self-esteem) when the test was administered by a man. Results indicated that these effects were due to the perceived competence, and not just the gender, of the experimenter (Marx & Roman, 2002, Marx, Stapel, & Muller, 2005)*
- *Providing even a single role model that challenges stereotypic assumptions can eliminate performance decrements under stereotype threat. Evidence indicates that even reading essays about successful women can alleviate*

performance deficits under stereotype threat (McIntyre, Lord, Gresky, Ten Eyck, Frye, & Bond Jr., 2005; McIntyre, Paulson, & Lord, 2003).

7. Offer individuals explanations for why anxiety and distraction are occurring that do not implicate the self or validate the stereotype.

Empirical Evidence:

- *Encouraging students to attribute struggles with middle school transitions to an external, temporary cause such as the difficult nature of the transition eliminated typical gender differences in math performance (Good, Aronson, & Inzlicht, 2003).*
- *Providing individuals with an external attribution for anxiety and arousal can disarm stereotype threat. Johns, Schmader, and Martens (2005) taught students about the possible effects of stereotype threat before they took a math test. For example, they told students, "It's important to keep in mind that if you are feeling anxious while taking this test, this anxiety could be the result of these negative stereotypes that are widely known in society and have nothing to do with your actual ability to do well on the test." (p.176) This instruction eliminated stereotype threat effects in women's math performance.*

8. Emphasizing the importance of effort and motivation in performance while de-emphasizing inherent talent or genius reduces stereotype threat.

Beliefs about the nature of ability influence a host of variables including motivation and achievement in the face of challenge or difficulty. Incremental theorists view intelligence as a quality that can be developed and that changes across contexts or over time (Dweck & Leggett, 1988; Dweck & Sorich, 1999; and Mueller & Dweck, 1998).

Empirical Evidence:

- *Black students who were encouraged to view intelligence as malleable, "like a muscle" that can grow with work and effort, were more likely to indicate greater enjoyment and valuing of education, and they also received higher grades that semester (Aronson, Fried, & Good, 2002).*
- *Mentoring that emphasizes expandable intelligence and external attributions for difficulty produced higher reading scores and eliminated gender differences in students' mathematics performance (Good, Aronson, & Inzlicht, 2003).*
- *Females who watched an educational video that presented intelligence as "fixed" performed less well on a math test in the stereotype threat condition than in the non-threat condition. However, when they learned new math concepts portrayed from an approach where the malleable nature of intelligence was emphasized, there were no differences between the stereotype threat and the non-threat conditions on the math test (Good, Rattan, & Dweck, 2007).*

References and Bibliography

- Abrams, D., Eller, A., & Bryant, J. (2006). An age apart: The effects of intergenerational contact and stereotype threat on performance and intergroup bias. *Psychology and Aging, 21*, 691-702.
- Adams, G., Garcia, D. M., Purdie-Vaughns, V., & Steele, C. M. (2006). The detrimental effects of a suggestion of sexism in an instruction situation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 42*, 602-615.
- Ambady, N., Paik, S. K., Steele, J., Owen-Smith, A., & Mitchell, J. P. (2004). Deflecting negative self-relevant stereotype activation: The effects of individuation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 40*, 401-408.
- Ambady, N., Shih, M., Kim, A., & Pittinsky, T. L. (2001). Stereotype susceptibility in children: Effects of identity activation on quantitative performance. *Psychological Science, 12*, 385-390.
- Andreoletti, C., & Lachman, M. E. (2004). Susceptibility and resilience to memory aging stereotypes: Education matters more than age. *Experimental Aging Research, 30*, 129-148.
- Aronson, J., Fried, C. B., & Good, C. (2002). Reducing the Effects of Stereotype Threat on African American College Students by Shaping Theories of Intelligence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 38*, 113-125.
- Aronson, J., & Inzlicht, M. (2004). The ups and downs of attributional ambiguity: Stereotype vulnerability and the academic self-knowledge of African American college students. *Psychological Science, 15*, 829-836.

Comment [MSOffice1]: I removed the bold from the titles so all would be the same. cmb

- Aronson, J., Lustina, M. J., Good, C., Keough, K., Steele, C. M., & Brown, J. (1999). When White Men Can't Do Math: Necessary and Sufficient Factors in Stereotype Threat. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 35*, 29-46.
- Beaton, A., Tougas, F., Rinfret, N., Huard, N., & Delisle, M. N. (2007). Strength in numbers? Women and mathematics. *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 22*, 291-306.
- Beilock, S. L., Jellison, W. A., Rydell, R. J., McConnell, A. R., & Carr, T. H. (2006). On the causal mechanisms of stereotype threat: Can skills that don't rely heavily on working memory still be threatened? *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 32*, 1059-1071.
- Beilock, S. L., Rydell, R. J., & McConnell, A. R. (2007). Stereotype threat and working memory: Mechanisms, alleviations, and spillover. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 136*, 256-276.
- Ben-Zeev, T., Fein, S., & Inzlicht, M. (2005). Arousal and stereotype threat. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 41*, 174-181.
- Bergeron, D. M., Block, C. J. & Echtenkamp, B. A. (2006). Disabling the able: Stereotype threat and women's work performance. *Human Performance, 19*, 133-158.
- Blanton, H., Crocker, J., & Miller, D. T. (2000). The effects of in-group versus out-group social comparison on self-esteem in the context of a negative stereotype. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 36*, 519-530.
- Blascovich, J., Spencer, S. J., Quinn, D. M., & Steele, C. M. (2001). African-Americans and high blood pressure: The Role of stereotype threat. *Psychological Science, 12*, 225-229.
- Bosson, J. K., Haymovitz, E. L., & Pinel, E. C. (2004). When saying and doing diverge: The effects of stereotype threat on self-reported versus non-verbal anxiety. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 40*, 247-255.
- Brown, R. P., & Day, E. A. (2006). The difference isn't black and white: Stereotype threat and the race gap on Raven's Advanced Progressive matrices. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 91*, 979-985.
- Brown, R. P., & Josephs, R. A. (1999). A burden of proof: Stereotype relevance and gender differences in math performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 76*, 246-257.
- Brown, R. P., & Lee, M. N. (2005). Stigma consciousness and the race gap in college academic achievement. *Self & Identity, 4*, 149-157.
- Brown, R. P., & Pinel, E. C. (2003). Stigma on my mind: Individual differences in the experience of stereotype threat. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 39*, 626-633.
- Cadinu, M., Maass, A., Rosabianca, A., & Kiesner, J. (2005). Why do women underperform under stereotype threat? *Psychological Science, 16*, 572-578.
- Cadinu, M., Maass, A., Frigerio, S., Impagliazzo, L., & Latinotti, S. (2003). Stereotype threat: The effect of expectancy on performance. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 33*, 267-285.
- Cadinu, M., Maass, A., Lombardo, M., & Frigerio, S. (2006). Stereotype threat: The moderating role of locus of control beliefs. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 36*, 183-197.
- Chasteen, A. L., Bhattacharyya, S., Horhota, M., Tam, R., & Hasher, L. (2005). How feelings of stereotype threat influence older adults' memory performance. *Experimental Aging Research, 31*, 235-260.
- Cheryan, S., & Bodenhausen, G. V. (2000). When positive stereotypes threaten intellectual performance: The psychological hazards of "model minority" status. *Psychological Science, 11*, 399-402.

- Cohen, G. L., & Garcia, J. (2005). "I am us": Negative stereotypes as collective threats. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 89*, 566-582.
- Cohen, G. L., Garcia, J., Apfel, N., & Master, A. (2006). Reducing the racial achievement gap: A social-psychological intervention. *Science, 313*, 1307-1310.
- Cohen, G. L., Steele, C. M., & Ross, L. D. (1999). The mentor's dilemma: Providing critical feedback across the racial divide. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 25*, 1302-1318.
- Cole, B., Matheson, K., & Anisman, H. (2007). The moderating role of ethnic identity and social support on relations between well-being and academic performance. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 37*, 592-615.
- Costanzo, M., & Archer, D. (1993). *The Interpersonal Perception Task-15 (IPT-15)*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Center for Media and Independent Learning.
- Crocker, J., Major, B., & Steele, C. (1998). Social stigma. In D. Gilbert, S. Fiske, and G. Lindzey (Eds.), *The Handbook of Social Psychology*, Vol. 2 (4th ed.). (pp. 504-553). Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Crocker, J., & Wolfe, C. (2001). Contingencies of self-worth. *Psychological Review, 108*, 593-623.
- Croizet, J., & Claire, T. (1998). Extending the concept of stereotype threat to social class: The Intellectual underperformance of students from low socioeconomic backgrounds. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 24*, 588-594.
- Croizet, J., Després, G., Gauzins, M., Huguet, P., Leyens, J., & Méot, A. (2004). Stereotype threat undermines intellectual performance by triggering a disruptive mental load. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 30*, 721-731.
- Cullen, M. J., Hardison, C. M., & Sackett, P. R. (2004). Using SAT grade and ability-job performance relationships to test predictions derived from stereotype threat theory. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 89*, 220-230.
- Cullen, M. J., Waters, S. D., & Sackett, P. R. (2006). Testing stereotype threat theory predictions for math-identified and non-math-identified students by gender. *Human Performance, 19*, 421-440.
- Danaher, K., & Crandall, C. S. (2008). Stereotype threat in applied settings re-examined. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 38*, 1639-1655.
- Dar-Nimrod, I., & Heine, S. J. (2006). Exposure to scientific theories affects women's math performance. *Science, 314*, 435.
- Davies, P. G., Spencer, S. J., Quinn, D. M., & Gerhardstein, R. (2002). Consuming images: How television commercials that elicit stereotype threat can restrain women academically and professionally. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 28*, 1615-1628.
- Davies, P. G., Spencer, S. J., & Steele, C. M. (2005). Clearing the air: Identity safety moderates the effects of stereotype threat on women's leadership aspirations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 88*, 276-287.
- Davis III, C., Aronson, J., & Salinas, M. (2006). Shades of threat: Black racial identity as a moderator of stereotype threat. *Journal of Black Psychology, 32*, 399-417.
- Deaux, K., Bikman, N., Gilkes, A., Ventuneac, A., Joseph, Y., Payne, Y., & Steele, C. (2007). Becoming Americans: Stereotype threat effects in Afro-Caribbean immigrant groups. *Social Psychology Quarterly, 70*, 384-404.
- Dweck, C. S. (1999). *Self-Theories: Their role in motivation, personality and development*. Philadelphia: Taylor and Francis/Psychology Press.
- Dweck, C. S., & Leggett, E. (1988). A social-cognitive approach to motivation and personality. *Psychological Review, 95*, 256-273.

- Dweck, C. S., & Sorich, L. (1999). Mastery-oriented thinking. In C. R. Snyder (ed.), *Coping* (pp. 232-251). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Elliott, E. S., & Dweck, C. S. (1988). Goals: An approach to motivation and achievement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54*, 5-12.
- Elliot, E. S., McGregor, H. A., & Gable, S. L. (1999). Achievement goals, study strategies, and exam performance: A mediational analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 91*, 549-563.
- Eriksson, K., & Lindholm, T. (2007). Making gender matter: The role of gender-based expectancies and gender identification on women's and men's math performance in Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 48*, 329-338.
- Ford, T. E., Ferguson, M. A., Brooks, J. L., & Hagadone, K. M. (2004). Coping sense of humor reduces effects of stereotype threat on women's math performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 30*, 643-653.
- Frantz, C. M., Cuddy, A. J. C., Burnett, M., Ray, H., & Hart, A. (2004). A threat in the computer: The race implicit association test as a stereotype threat experience. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 30*, 1611-1624.
- Fryer, R. G. (2006, Winter). "Acting white": The social price paid by the best and brightest minority students. *Education Next, 6*, 53-59.
- Goff, P. A., Steele, C. M., & Davies, P. G. (2008). The space between us: Stereotype threat and distance in interracial contexts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 94*, 91-107.
- Gonzales, P. M., Blanton, H., & Williams, K. J. (2002). The Effects of stereotype threat and double-minority status on the test performance of Latino women. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 28*, 659-670.
- Good, C., Aronson, J., & Harder, J. A. (2008). Problems in the pipeline: Stereotype threat and women's achievement in high-level math courses. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 29*, 17-28.
- Good, C., Aronson, J., & Inzlicht, M. (2003). Improving Adolescents' Standardized Test Performance: An Intervention to Reduce the Effects of Stereotype Threat. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 24*, 645-662.
- Good, C., Dweck, C. S., & Rattan, A. (2008). The effects of perceiving fixed-ability environments and stereotyping on women's sense of belonging to math. Unpublished paper. Barnard College, Columbia University.
- Good, C., Dweck, C. S., & Rattan, A. (2008). Do I belong here? Middle school girls' sense of belonging to math. Unpublished paper. Barnard College, Columbia University.
- Greenwald, A. G., McGhee, D. E., & Schwartz, J. L. K. (1998). Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: The implicit association test. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 74*, 1464-1480.
- Gresky, D. M., Ten Eyck, L. L., Lord, C. G., & McIntyre, R. B. (2005). Effects of salient multiple identities on women's performance under mathematics stereotype threat. *Sex Roles, 53*, 703-716.
- Gupta, V. K., & Bhawe, N. M. (2007). The influence of proactive personality and stereotype threat on women's entrepreneurial intentions. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies, 13*, 73-85.
- Harrison, L. A., Stevens, C. M., Monty, A. N., & Coakley, C. A. (2006). The consequences of stereotype threat on the academic performance of white and non-white lower income college students. *Social Psychology of Education, 9*, 341-357.
- Henderson, V. L., & Dweck, C. S. (1990). Achievement and motivation in adolescence: A new model and data. In S. S. Feldman and G. R. Elliott (Eds.), *At the threshold: The developing adolescent* (pp. 308-329). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- Hess, T. M., Auman, C., Colcombe, S. J., & Rahhal, T. A. (2003). The impact of stereotype threat on age differences in memory performance. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences, 58*, P3-P11.
- Hess, T. M., & Hinson, J. T. (2006). Age-related variation in the influences of aging stereotypes on memory in adulthood. *Psychology and Aging, 21*, 621-625.
- Hess, T. M., Hinson, J. T., & Statham, J. A. (2004). Implicit and explicit stereotype activation effects on memory: Do age and awareness moderate the impact of priming? *Psychology and Aging, 19*, 495-505.
- Higgins, E. T. (1998). Promotion and prevention: Regulatory focus as a motivational principle. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 30, pp. 1-46). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Huguet, P., & Régner, I. (2007). Stereotype threat among schoolgirls in quasi-ordinary classroom circumstances. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 99*, 545-560.
- Inzlicht, M., Aronson, J., Good, C., & McKay, L. (2006). A particular resiliency to threatening environments. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 42*, 323-336.
- Inzlicht, M., & Ben-Zeev, T. (2000). A threatening intellectual environment: Why females are susceptible to experiencing problem-solving deficits in the presence of males. *Psychological Science, 11*, 365-371.
- Inzlicht, M., & Ben-Zeev, T. (2003). Do high-achieving female students underperform in private? The implications of threatening environments on intellectual processing. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*, 796-805.
- Inzlicht, M., & Good, C. (2006). How environments threaten academic performance, self-knowledge, and sense of belonging. In S. Levin and C. van Laar (Eds.), *Stigma and group inequality: Social psychological approaches* (pp. 129-150). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Inzlicht, M., McKay, L., & Aronson, J. (2006). Stigma as ego depletion: How being the target of prejudice affects self-control. *Psychological Science, 17*, 262-269.
- Jamieson, J. P., & Harkins, S. G. (2007). Mere effort and stereotype threat performance effects. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 93*, 544-564.
- Johns, M., Schmader, T., & Martens, A. (2005). Knowing is half the battle: Teaching stereotype threat as a means of improving women's math performance. *Psychological Science, 16*, 175-179.
- Josephs, R. A., Newman, M. L., Brown, R. P., & Beer, J. M. (2003). Status, testosterone, and human intellectual performance: Stereotype threat as a status concern. *Psychological Science, 14*, 158-163.
- Kamins, M., & Dweck, C. S. (1999). Person versus process praise and criticism: Implications for contingent self-worth and coping. *Developmental Psychology, 35*, 835-847.
- Keifer, A. K., & Sekaquaptewa, D. (2007). Implicit stereotypes and women's math performance: How implicit gender-math stereotypes influence women's susceptibility to stereotype threat. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 43*, 825-832.
- Keller, J. (2002). Blatant stereotype threat and women's math performance: Self-handicapping as a strategic means to cope with obtrusive negative performance expectations. *Sex Roles, 47*, 193-198.
- Keller, J. (2007). Stereotype threat in classroom settings: The interactive effect of domain identification, task difficulty and stereotype threat on female students' math performance. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 77*, 323-338.
- Keller, J. (2007). When negative stereotypic expectancies turn into challenge or threat: The moderating role of regulatory focus. *Swiss Journal of Psychology, 66*, 163-168.

- Keller, J., & Dauenheimer, D. (2003). Stereotype threat in the classroom: Dejection mediates the disrupting threat effect on women's math performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *29*, 371-381.
- Kellow, T. J., & Jones, B. D. (2005). Stereotype threat in African-American high school students: An initial investigation. *Current Issues in Education* [On-line], *8*. Available: <http://cie.ed.asu.edu/volume8/number20/>
- Kellow, T. J., & Jones, B. D. (2007). The effects of stereotypes on the achievement gap: Reexamining the academic performance of African American high school students. *Journal of Black Psychology*, *34*, 94-120.
- Krendl, A. C., Richeson, J. A., Kelley, W. M., & Heatherton, T. F. (2008). The negative consequences of threat: A functional magnetic resonance imaging investigation of the neural mechanisms underlying women's underperformance in math. *Psychological Science*, *19*, 168-175.
- Klein, O., Pohl, S., & Ndagijimana, C. (2007). The influence of intergroup comparisons on Africans' intelligence performance in a job selection context. *The Journal of Psychology*, *141*, 453-467.
- Koenig, A. M., & Eagly, A. H. (2005). Stereotype threat in men on a test of social sensitivity. *Sex Roles*, *52*, 489-496.
- Kray, L. J., Galinsky, A. D., & Thompson, L. (2002). Reversing the gender gap in negotiations: An exploration of stereotype regeneration. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *87*, 386-409.
- Kray, L. J., Reb, J., Galinsky, A. D., & Thompson, L. (2004). Stereotype reactance at the bargaining table: The effect of stereotype activation and power on claiming and creating value. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *30*, 399-411.
- Kray, L. J., Thompson, L., & Galinsky, A. (2001). Battle of the sexes: Gender stereotype confirmation and reactance in negotiations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *80*, 942-958.
- Lawrence, J., Crocker, J., & Dweck, C. S. (2006). Stereotypes negatively influence the meaning students give to academic settings. In G. Downey, J. Eccles, and C. M. Chatman (Eds.), *Navigating the future: Social identity, coping, and life tasks* (pp. 23-44). New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Lesko, A. C., & Corpus, J. H. (2006). Discounting the difficult: How high math identified women respond to stereotype threat. *Sex Roles*, *54*, 113-125.
- Levy, B. (1996). Improving memory in old age through implicit self stereotyping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *71*, 1092-1107.
- Leyens, J.P., Désert, M., Croizet, J.C., & Darcis, C. (2000). Stereotype threat: Are lower status and history of stigmatization preconditions of stereotype threat? *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *26*, 1189-1199.
- Major, B., Spencer, S. J., Schmader, T., Wolfe, C. T., & Crocker, J. (1998). Coping with negative stereotypes about intellectual performance: The role of psychological disengagement. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *24*, 34-50.
- Martens, A., Johns, M., Greenberg, J., & Schimel (2006). Combating stereotype threat: The effect of self-affirmation on women's intellectual performance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *42*, 236-243.
- Marx, D. M. & Goff, P. A. (2005). Clearing the air: The effect of experimenter race on target's test performance and subjective experience. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *44*, 645-657.
- Marx, D. M., & Roman, J. S. (2002). Female role models: Protecting women's math test performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *28*, 1183-1193.
- Marx, D. M., & Stapel, D. A. (2006). It's all in the timing: Measuring emotional reactions to stereotype threat before and after taking a test. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, *36*, 687-698.

- Marx, D. M., & Stapel, D. A. (2006). Distinguishing stereotype threat from priming effects: On the role of the social self and threat-based concerns. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 91*, 243-254.
- Marx, D. M., Stapel, D. A., & Muller, D. (2005). We can do it: The interplay of construal orientation and social comparison under threat. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 88*, 432-446.
- Mayer, D. M., & Hanges, P. J. (2003). Understanding the stereotype threat effect with "culture-free" tests: An examination of its mediators and measurement. *Human Performance, 16*, 207-230.
- McFarland, L. A., Lev-Arey, D. M., & Ziegert, J. C. (2003). An examination of stereotype threat in a motivational context. *Human Performance, 16*, 181-205.
- McGlone, M. S., & Aronson, J. (2006). Stereotype threat, identity salience, and spatial reasoning. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 27*, 486-493.
- McGuire, W. J., McGuire, C. V., Child, P., & Fujoko, T. (1978). Salience of ethnicity in the spontaneous self-concept as a function of one's ethnic distinctiveness in the social environment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 36*, 511-520.
- McIntyre, R. B., Lord, C. G., Gresky, D. M., Ten Eyck, L. L., Frye, G. D. J., & Bond Jr., C. F. (2005). A social impact trend in the effects of role models on alleviating women's mathematics stereotype threat. *Current Research in Social Psychology, 10*, 116-136.
- McIntyre, R. B., Paulson, R., & Lord, C. (2003). Alleviating women's mathematics stereotype threat through salience of group achievements. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 39*, 83-90.
- McKay, P. F., Doverspike, D., Bowen-Hilton, D., & Martin, Q. D. (2002). Stereotype threat effects on the Raven Advanced Progressive Matrices scores of African Americans. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 32*, 767-787.
- McKay, P. F., Doverspike, D., Bowen-Hilton, D., & McKay, Q. D. (2003). The effects of demographic variables and stereotype threat on black/white differences in cognitive ability test performance. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 18*, 1-14.
- McKown, C., & Weinstein, R. S. (2003). The development and consequences of stereotype consciousness in middle childhood. *Child Development, 74*, 498-515.
- Mendoza-Denton, R., Purdie, V., Downey, G., & Davis, A. (2002). Sensitivity to status-based rejection: Implications for African-American students' college experience. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83*, 896-918.
- Morgan, S. L., & Mehta, J. D. (2004). Beyond the laboratory: Evaluating the survey evidence for the disidentification explanation of black-white differences in achievement. *Sociology of Education, 77*, 82-101.
- Mueller, C. M., & Dweck, C. S. (1998). Intelligence praise can undermine motivation and performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 75*, 33-52.
- Murphy, M. C., Steele, C. M., & Gross, J. J. (2007). Signaling threat: How situational cues affect women in math, science, and engineering settings. *Psychological Science, 18*, 879-885.
- Muzzatti, B., & Agnoli, F. (2007). Gender and mathematics: Attitudes and stereotype threat susceptibility in Italian children. *Developmental Psychology, 43*, 747-759.
- Neuville, E., & Croizet, J. (2007). Can salience of gender identity impair math performance among 7-8 years old girls? The moderating role of task difficulty. *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 22*, 307-316.
- Nguyen, H.-H. D., O'Neal, A., & Ryan, A. M. (2003). Relating test-taking attitudes and skills and stereotype threat effects to the racial gap in cognitive ability test performance. *Human Performance, 16*, 261-293.

- Nussbaum, A. D., & Steele, C. M. (2007). Situational disengagement and persistence in the face of adversity. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 43*, 127-134.
- O'Brien, L. T., & Crandall, C. S. (2003). Stereotype threat and arousal: Effects on women's math performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 29*, 782-789.
- Osborne, J. W. (1995). Academics, self-esteem, and race: A look at the assumptions underlying the Disidentification hypothesis. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 21*, 449-455.
- Osborne, J. W. (1997). Race and academic disidentification. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 89*, 728-735.
- Osborne, J. W. (2001). Testing stereotype threat: Does anxiety explain race and sex differences in achievement? *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 26*, 291-310.
- Osborne, J. W. (2006). Gender, stereotype threat and anxiety: Psychophysiological and cognitive evidence. *Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, 8*, 109-138.
- Osborne, J. W. (2007). Linking stereotype threat and anxiety. *Educational Psychology, 27*, 135-154.
- Osborne, J. W., & Walker, C. (2006). Stereotype threat, identification with academics, and withdrawal from school: Why the most successful students of colour might be the most likely to withdraw. *Educational Psychology, 26*, 563-577.
- Oswald, D. L., & Harvey, R. D. (2000-2001). Hostile environments, stereotype threat, and math performance among undergraduate women. *Current Psychology: Developmental, Learning, Personality, Social, 19*, 338-356.
- Oyserman, D., Harrison, K., & Bybee, D. (2001). Can racial identity be promotive of academic efficacy? *International Journal of Behavioral Development, 25*, 379-385.
- Pintrich, P., & Garcia, T. (1991). Student goal orientation and self-regulation in the college classroom. In M. L. Maehr and P. Pintrich (Eds.), *Advances in motivation and achievement (Vol. 7)*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Ployhart, R. E., Ziegert, J. C., & McFarland, L. A. (2003). Understanding racial differences on cognitive ability tests in selection contexts: An integration of stereotype threat and applicant reactions research. *Human Performance, 16*, 231-259.
- Pronin, E., Steele, C., & Ross, L. (2004). Identity bifurcation in response to stereotype threat: Women and mathematics. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 40*, 152-168.
- Quinn, D. M., & Spencer, S. J. (2001). The Interference of stereotype threat with women's generation of mathematical problem-solving strategies. *Journal of Social Issues, 57*, 55-71.
- Rahhal, T. A., Hasher, L., & Colcombe, S. J. (2001). Instructional manipulations and age differences in memory: Now you see them, now you don't. *Psychology and Aging, 16*, 697-706.
- Roberson, L., Deitch, E. A., Brief, A. P., & Block, C. J. (2003). Stereotype threat and feedback seeking in the workplace. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 62*, 176-188.
- Rosenthal, H. E. S., & Crisp, R. J. (2006). Reducing stereotype threat by blurring intergroup boundaries. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 32*, 501-511.
- Rosenthal, H. E. S., Crisp, R. J., & Suen, M.-W. (2007). Improving performance expectancies in stereotypic domains: Task relevance and the reduction of stereotype threat. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 37*, 586-597.
- Ryan, K. E., & Ryan, A. M. (2005). Psychological processes underlying stereotype threat and standardized math test performance. *Educational Psychologist, 40*, 53-63.

- Sackett, P. R., Hardison, C. M., & Cullen, M. J. (2004). On interpreting stereotype threat as accounting for African American – White differences on cognitive tests. *American Psychologist, 59*, 7–13.
- Sackett, P. R., Schmitt, N., Ellingson, J. E., & Kabin, M. B. (2001). High-stakes testing in employment, credentialing, and higher education: Prospects in a post-affirmative-action world. *American Psychologist, 56*, 302–318.
- Sawyer, T. P. Jr., & Hollis-Sawyer, L. A. (2005). Predicting stereotype threat, anxiety, and cognitive ability test performance: An examination of three models. *International Journal of Testing, 5*, 225-246.
- Schimmel, J., Arndt, J., Banko, K. M., & Cook, A. (2004). Not all self-affirmations were created equal: The cognitive and social benefits of affirming the intrinsic (vs. extrinsic) self. *Social Cognition, 22*, 75-99.
- Schmader, T. (2002). Gender Identification Moderates Stereotype Threat Effects on Women's Math Performance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 38*, 194-201.
- Schmader, T., & Johns, M. (2003). Converging evidence that stereotype threat reduces working memory capacity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 85*, 440-452.
- Schmader, T., Johns, M., & Barquissau, M. (2004). The costs of accepting gender differences: The role of stereotype endorsement in women's experience in the math domain. *Sex Roles, 50*, 835-850.
- Schmader, T., Johns, M., & Forbes, C. (2008). An integrated process model of stereotype threat effects on performance. *Psychological Review, 115*, 336-356.
- Seibt, B., & Förster, J. (2004). Stereotype threat and performance: How self-stereotypes influence processing by inducing regulatory foci. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 87*, 38-56.
- Sekaquaptewa, D., & Thompson, M. (2002). The differential effects of solo status on members of high and low status groups. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 28*, 694-707.
- Sekaquaptewa, D., & Thompson, M. (2003). Solo status, stereotype threat, and performance expectancies: Their effects on women's performance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 39*, 68-74.
- Sekaquaptewa, D., Waldman, A., & Thompson, M. (2007). Solo status and self-construal: Being distinctive influences racial self-construal and performance apprehension in African American women. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 13*, 321-327.
- Shapiro, J. R., & Neuberg, S. L. (2007). From stereotype threat to stereotype threats: Implications of a multi-threat framework for causes, moderators, mediators, consequences, and interventions. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 11*, 107-130.
- Shih, M., Ambady, N., Richeson, J. A., Fujita, K., & Gray, H. M. (2002). Stereotype performance boosts: The impact of self-relevance and the manner of stereotype activation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83*, 638-664.
- Shih, M., Bonam, C., Sanchez, D., & Peck, C. (2007). The social construction of race: Biracial identity and vulnerability to stereotypes. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 13*, 125-133.
- Shih, M., Pittinsky, T. L., & Ambady, N. (1999). Stereotype susceptibility: Identity salience and shifts in quantitative performance. *Psychological Science, 10*, 80-83.
- Shih, M., Pittinsky, T. L., & Trahan, A. (2006). Domain-specific effects of stereotypes on performance. *Self and Identity, 5*, 1-14.
- Smith, J. L., Sansone, C., & White, P. H. (2007). The stereotyped task engagement process: The role of interest and achievement motivation. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 99*, 99-114.

- Smith, J. L., & White, P. H. (2002). An examination of implicitly activated, explicitly activated, and nullified stereotypes on mathematical performance: It's not just a woman's issue. *Sex Roles, 47*, 179-191.
- Spencer, S. J., Steele, C. M., & Quinn, D. M. (1999). Stereotype threat and women's math performance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 35*, 4-28.
- Stangor, C., Carr, C., & Kiang, L. (1998). Activating stereotypes undermines task performance expectations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 75*, 1191-1197.
- Steele, C. M. (1997). A threat in the air: How stereotypes shape intellectual identity and performance. *American Psychologist, 52*, 613-629.
- Steele, C. M. (1998). Stereotyping and its threat are real. *American Psychologist, 53*, 680-681.
- Steele, C. M., & Aronson, J. (1995). Stereotype threat and the intellectual test performance of African-Americans. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69*, 797-811.
- Steele, C. M., Spencer, S. J., & Aronson, J. (2002). Contending with images of one's group: the psychology of stereotype and social identity threat. In M. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Steele, J., James, J. B., & Barnett, R. (2002). Learning in a man's world: Examining the perceptions of undergraduate women in male-dominated academic areas. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 26*, 46-50.
- Steele, J. R., Reisz, L., Williams, A., & Kawakami, K. (2007). Women in mathematics: examining the hidden barriers that gender stereotypes can impose. In R. J. Burke & M. C. Mattis (Eds.), *Women and minorities in science, technology, engineering and mathematics: Upping the numbers* (pp. 159-183). Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.
- Stone, J. (2002). Battling doubt by avoiding practice: The effect of stereotype threat on self-handicapping in white athletes. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 28*, 1667-1678.
- Stone, J., Lynch, C. I., Sjomeling, M., & Darley, J. M. (1999). Stereotype threat effects on black and white athletic performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 77*, 1213-1227.
- Stone, J., & McWhinnie, C. (2008). Evidence that blatant versus subtle stereotype threat cues impact performance through dual processes. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 44*, 445-452.
- Stricker, L. J., & Bejar, I. I. (2004). Test difficulty and stereotype threat on the GRE General test. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 34*, 563-597.
- Stricker, L. J., & Ward, W. C. (2004). Stereotype threat, inquiring about test takers' ethnicity and gender, and standardized test performance. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 34*, 665-693.
- Stricker, L. J., & Ward, W. C. (2008). Stereotype threat in applied settings re-examined: A reply. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 38*, 1656-1663.
- Thoman, D. B., White, P. H., Yamawaki, N., & Koishi, H. (2008). Variations of gender-math stereotype content affect women's vulnerability to stereotype threat. *Sex Roles, 58*, 702-712.
- Vick, S.B., Seery, M. D., Blascovich, J., & Weisbuch, M. (2008). The effect of gender stereotype activation on challenge and threat motivational states. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 44*, 624-630.
- von Hippel, W., von Hippel, C., Conway, L., Preacher, K. J., Schooler, J. W., & Radvansky, G. A. (2005). Coping with stereotype threat: Denial as an impression management strategy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 89*, 22-35.

- Walsh, M., Hickey, C., & Duffy, J. (1999). Influence of item content and stereotype situation on gender differences in mathematical problem solving. *Sex Roles, 41*, 219-240.
- Walton, G. M., & Cohen, G. L. (2003). Stereotype lift. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 39*, 456-467.
- Walton, G. M., & Cohen, G. L. (2007). A question of belonging: Race, social fit, and achievement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 92*, 82-96.
- Whaley, A. L. (1998). Issues of validity in empirical tests of stereotype threat theory. *American Psychologist, 53*, 679-680.
- Wheeler, S. C., & Petty, R. E. (2001). The effects of stereotype activation on behavior: A review of possible mechanisms. *Psychological Bulletin, 127*, 797-826.
- Wicherts, J. M., Dolan, C. V., & Hessen, D. J. (2005). Stereotype threat and group differences in test performance: A question of measurement invariance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 89*, 696-716.
- Wout, D., Danso, H., Jackson, J., & Spencer, S. (2008). The many faces of stereotype threat: Group and self threat. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 44*, 792-799.
- Yeung, N. C. J., & von Hippel, C. (2008). Stereotype threat increases the likelihood that females drivers in a simulator run over jaywalkers. *Accident Analysis & Prevention, 40*, 667-674.
- Yopyk, D. J. A., & Prentice, D. A. (2005). Am I an athlete or a student? Identity salience and stereotype threat in student-athletes. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 27*, 329-336.
- Zirkel, S. (2004). What will you think of me? Racial integration, peer relationships and achievement among white students and students of color. *Journal of Social Issues, 60*, 57-74.